

THE RESTRUCTURING
REVIEW

FOURTEENTH EDITION

Editor
Peter K Newman

THE LAWREVIEWS

THE
RESTRUCTURING
REVIEW

FOURTEENTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in July 2021
For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor
Peter K Newman

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Clare Bolton

HEAD OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

Nick Barette

TEAM LEADERS

Jack Bagnall, Joel Woods

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS

Katie Hodgetts, Rebecca Mogridge

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT EXECUTIVE

Olivia Budd

RESEARCH LEAD

Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR

Georgia Goldberg

PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR

Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Anna Andreoli

SUBEDITOR

Tessa Brummitt

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom

by Law Business Research Ltd, London

Meridian House, 34–35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK

© 2021 Law Business Research Ltd

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at July 2021, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – clare.bolton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-824-6

Printed in Great Britain by

Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire

Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ABNR COUNSELLORS AT LAW

AFRIDI & ANGELL

ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP

ARENDT & MEDERNACH

BAKER MCKENZIE

BEAUCHAMPS

CREEL, GARCIA-CUELLAR, AIZA Y ENRIQUEZ, SC

CUATRECASAS

DE PARDIEU BROCAS MAFFEI AARPI

DELOITTE LEGAL

GILBERT + TOBIN

GRIMALDI STUDIO LEGALE

HENGELER MUELLER

LINKLATERS LLP

MARVAL O'FARRELL MAIRAL

PLMJ

PRAGER DREIFUSS AG

SARANTITIS LAW FIRM

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

TATARA & PARTNERS

TRILEGAL

CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	v
<i>Peter K Newman</i>	
Chapter 1 ARGENTINA.....	1
<i>Fernando Daniel Hernández</i>	
Chapter 2 AUSTRALIA.....	14
<i>Peter Bowden, Anna Ryan and Christopher Asben</i>	
Chapter 3 CANADA.....	31
<i>Michael Nowina, Sandy Park and Milinda Yimesghen</i>	
Chapter 4 CZECH REPUBLIC	42
<i>Tomáš Brožek, Jan Dudík and Leoš Vavřík</i>	
Chapter 5 ENGLAND AND WALES.....	57
<i>Peter K Newman, Nicole Stephansen, Riccardo Alonzi and Raffaella Ricciardi</i>	
Chapter 6 FRANCE.....	81
<i>Joanna Gumpelson and Philippe Dubois</i>	
Chapter 7 GERMANY.....	94
<i>Martin Tasma and Moritz Müller-Leibenger</i>	
Chapter 8 GREECE.....	109
<i>Dorotheos Samoladas, Dionysis Kazaglis and Sofrini Sideri</i>	
Chapter 9 INDIA	137
<i>Aniruddha Sen, Karishma Dodeja, Monil Chheda and Pooja Shankar</i>	
Chapter 10 INDONESIA.....	151
<i>Emir Nurmansyah and Kevin Omar Sidharta</i>	

Contents

Chapter 11	IRELAND.....	163
	<i>Barry Cahir</i>	
Chapter 12	ITALY.....	174
	<i>Stefano Lombrassa and Paola Rossi</i>	
Chapter 13	LUXEMBOURG.....	184
	<i>Clara Mara-Marhuenda, Sébastien Binard and Grégory Minne</i>	
Chapter 14	MEXICO.....	198
	<i>Thomas S Heather</i>	
Chapter 15	NETHERLANDS.....	211
	<i>Paul Kuipers</i>	
Chapter 16	POLAND.....	224
	<i>Karol Tatar, Adam Królik, Anna Czarnota, Sandra Bartkowska-Michalska and Mateusz Kaliński</i>	
Chapter 17	PORTUGAL.....	231
	<i>João Tiago Morais Antunes, Teresa Madeira Afonso and Petra Carreira</i>	
Chapter 18	SINGAPORE.....	242
	<i>Kenneth Lim Tao Chung and Wong Pei Ting</i>	
Chapter 19	SPAIN.....	256
	<i>Fedra Valencia García, Íñigo de Luisa Maíz, Íñigo Rubio Lasarte and Carlos Ara Triadiú</i>	
Chapter 20	SWITZERLAND.....	272
	<i>Daniel Hayek and Mark Meili</i>	
Chapter 21	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.....	283
	<i>Bashir Ahmed and Rahat Dar</i>	
Chapter 22	UNITED STATES.....	292
	<i>Lisa Laukitis, James J Mazza, Jr and Edward Mahaney-Walter</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	319
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	339

PREFACE

I am very pleased to present this 14th edition of *The Restructuring Review*. Our intention is to help general counsel, government agencies and private practice lawyers, as well as other professionals, investors and market participants, understand the prevailing conditions in the global restructuring market in 2021. This edition seeks to highlight some of the more significant legal and commercial developments and trends during this period.

I would like to thank Chris Mallon, the editor of the first 12 editions of this book, and Dominic McCahill, the editor for the 13th edition, for their time and dedication to ensuring the publication of this review on an annual basis. Both have now retired from the partnership at Skadden and I am honoured to continue their work.

The covid-19 pandemic has dominated the global political and economic landscape since it emerged in early 2020 and will continue to do so throughout 2021. The impact of the pandemic has been felt far and wide, from the devastating human costs to the severe impact on the world economy. All countries have faced material consequences from the pandemic and the vast majority have imposed multiple lockdowns and sweeping travel restrictions to help fight the spread of the coronavirus through their populations. At the time of writing, no country has emerged from the pandemic and all countries maintain some level of restrictions on movement and interaction of people to limit virus spread.

The world economy faced extreme challenges in 2020 due to the pandemic. Faced with total losses of revenue at the outset of the pandemic, businesses in many sectors appeared likely to be swept into a tidal wave of insolvencies and liquidations. Many workers lost jobs and the prospect of extreme levels of unemployment appeared imminent. But at least in some significant respect, the blow was softened by financial support to businesses and workers offered by governments and central banks around the world. In fact, government support measures in many cases more than offset (at least temporarily) the damage wrought by the pandemic as many jurisdictions reported year-on-year decreases in restructuring and insolvency activity. Markets around the world continued their pre-pandemic ascents and deal activity hit new record highs, including in an exuberant market for blank cheque acquisition vehicles known as 'SPACs'.

The development of numerous vaccines and the commencement of unprecedented global roll-outs of vaccination programmes has brought hope that brighter days are ahead in 2021 and 2022. While some have predicted that the world economy will recover rapidly in 2021 and 2022 as vaccine distribution proliferates and countries ease or eliminate pandemic related restrictions, others warn of a rude awakening as new covid-19 variants emerge, government support measures are withdrawn and pandemic-inspired changes to consumer

behaviour impact businesses built in a pre-covid world. Only time will tell how quickly the world is able to recover from the pandemic as well as when, and to what extent, the world will return to pre-pandemic life.

In light of all this unprecedented disruption, it is unsurprising that the past year has been an interesting one in the restructuring and insolvency space. Last year, many jurisdictions introduced new laws, rules and practices related to the restructuring and insolvency of troubled businesses. While some of these changes arose specifically in reaction to covid-19, many others were introduced as part of a broader trend of reform of insolvency and restructuring law many years in the making. As can be seen in the following chapters, many of these new laws and reforms have already been used to help businesses in an exceptionally challenging year and no doubt will continue to be used and further developed in the global efforts to recover from the pandemic.

I hope that this edition of *The Restructuring Review* will continue to serve as a useful guide at a crucial moment in the evolution of restructuring and insolvency law and practice internationally. I would like to extend my gratitude to all the contributors for the support and cooperation they have provided in the preparation of this work, and to our publishers, without whom this would not have been possible.

Peter K Newman

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

London

July 2021

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

*Bashir Ahmed and Rahat Dar*¹

I OVERVIEW OF RESTRUCTURING AND INSOLVENCY ACTIVITY

The ongoing economic slowdown affecting the UAE economy over the last few years, and Dubai in particular, was made even worse by the recent covid-19 pandemic, in part due to the resulting pressure on global oil prices, which is still a major source of revenue for many countries in the Middle East region. The UAE government has introduced schemes to shore up liquidity shortfalls, such as a US\$50 billion scheme to provide funding to banks, so as to enable them to continue providing funding to businesses (many of which are in dire straits), while maintaining their liquidity adequacy requirements. However, banks continue to show reluctance in dealing with the construction, real estate and hospitality sectors, which have experienced a steep decline in recent years. There is a growing shift in creditors (particularly overseas creditors) favouring legal action (notwithstanding the uncertainty associated with the largely untested Federal Law No. 9 of 2016 on Bankruptcy, as amended (the Bankruptcy Law)). It is anticipated that there will be a significant increase in legal action under the Bankruptcy Law in the coming years, as debtors and creditors continue to struggle in the face of a global downturn and covid-19.

II GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE RESTRUCTURING AND INSOLVENCY LEGAL FRAMEWORK

i Overview

The most widely applied insolvency regime in the UAE is under the Bankruptcy Law, which applies to any company governed by the provisions of Federal Law No. 8 of 2015 on Commercial Companies (as amended) (the Commercial Companies Law), businesses formed in the free zones (except those in the financial free zones of the Dubai International Financial Centre and the Abu Dhabi Global Market, which have their own bankruptcy rules), licensed civil companies, public sector companies (i.e., those wholly or partially owned by the federal government or an Emirate government) and individual traders. Civil companies were generally viewed as falling outside the previous insolvency regime, since they engaged in civil as opposed to commercial activities. In contrast, the public sector is now almost completely exempt from the new Bankruptcy Law, unless and until a public sector company undertakes the amendment of its founding statute or constitutive and governing documents so as to make it subject to the new Bankruptcy Law. The Commercial Companies

¹ Bashir Ahmed is a partner and Rahat Dar is a senior associate at Afridi & Angell.

Law also contains provisions for the dissolution of a company. The Bankruptcy Law also repeals certain provisions of the Penal Code of the UAE (contained in Federal Law No. 3 of 1987) on non-fraudulent bankruptcy.

ii The Bankruptcy Law

The Bankruptcy Law provides three options for companies in financial distress, namely preventive composition, restructuring and bankruptcy.

Preventive composition

Under the Bankruptcy Law, a debtor can apply for preventive composition rather than having to proceed directly to bankruptcy proceedings. During the preventive composition scheme, the debtor will be under court protection from individual creditor claims. This option is only available to a debtor that has not been in default for more than 30 consecutive business days and is not insolvent. Once the scheme is in place, the debtor cannot dispose of any property, stocks or shares, make any borrowings or (if it is a company) change its ownership or corporate form.

To initiate preventive composition the debtor must make an application to the court that must include, among other things, a description of its economic and financial position, details of its movable and immovable properties, employees and creditors, and its cash flow and profit and loss projections for the 12 months following the date of its application.

The debtor must continue to perform its obligations under its contracts, provided that the court has not issued a judgment of stay of execution owing to its failure to perform its obligations under such contract. A trustee will be appointed to facilitate the preventive composition process, and this trustee will have the right to request the court to rescind any contract if that is in the best interests of the debtor and its creditors and provided that it does not substantially harm the other contracting parties' interests.

The trustee is obliged to publish in two daily local newspapers: (1) a summary of the decision approving the preventive composition, with a request that all creditors file appropriate claims; (2) a list of the debts and statement of accounts accepted from each of those debts; (3) an invitation to creditors to discuss and vote on the draft preventive composition scheme; and (4) once approved by the court, the decision and summary of the scheme. Ultimately, the court will approve the final list of approved creditors, having reviewed any objections received following the publication of the debts. The trustee will submit the draft scheme to the court, which will then have five business days to make its decision to approve or reject it (taking into account any creditor objections).

Thereafter, the trustee is responsible for supervision of the scheme throughout the implementation period, including submission of quarterly reports to the court detailing progress and any failures by the debtor to implement the scheme. The trustee can apply to the court for amendments to be made to the scheme if it considers it necessary at any point during the implementation period.

The scheme must be implemented within three years of the date of court approval. This term can be extended for a further three-year period if a two-thirds majority of the unpaid creditors consent to such extension.

Following a request by the trustee, and pending discharge of the debtor's obligations under the scheme, the court will issue its decision confirming that the scheme has been fulfilled. Such a decision will be published in two daily local newspapers.

Conversion from preventive composition into bankruptcy proceedings

At the request of an interested party, or in exercise of its own discretion, the court may initiate the termination of the scheme and convert it into a bankruptcy proceeding if: (1) it is proved that the debtor was in payment default for more than 30 consecutive business days or was insolvent on the date of commencement of the preventive composition proceedings, or if this became clear to the court during the court of preventive composition proceedings; or (2) it becomes impossible to apply the scheme, and ending the same would result in payment default for more than 30 consecutive business days or result in the debtor's insolvency. (The Bankruptcy Law does not provide any guidance as to what constitutes 'impossible'.)

Ability to raise new finance

While undergoing the preventive composition or restructuring process, a debtor (or the trustee) has the option to apply to the court for authority to obtain new funding. Any 'new' creditor will have precedence over any ordinary outstanding debt owed by the debtor (but providing protection for existing creditors).

Application for initiation of bankruptcy proceedings

There is a minimum threshold of 100,000 dirhams before a creditor, or group of creditors, can initiate bankruptcy proceedings against the debtor, provided that such creditor has adequately notified the debtor of such debt and the debtor has still failed to repay it within 30 consecutive business days of notification. (The Bankruptcy Law does not address how disputed amounts will be treated by the court.)

The debtor must apply to the court to initiate proceedings if it cannot repay its debts as they fall due for more than 30 consecutive business days.

Company bankruptcy

The Bankruptcy Law has expanded the number of parties that may apply for the bankruptcy of a company. It is now possible for a debtor company, its creditors, the competent controlling body of the debtor company and the public prosecutor to apply to the courts for a bankruptcy order. Bankruptcy applications from the above parties will be subject to different requirements. For a creditor, or group of creditors, to make a bankruptcy application against a debtor, it must show that: (1) the debtor remains in default for 30 consecutive business days after the creditor sends written notice of the overdue debt to the debtor; and (2) the debt meets the minimum threshold of 100,000 dirhams. This 100,000 dirhams threshold may prove challenging for smaller trade creditors, who are unlikely to meet this threshold and may not be able to identify other creditors to join in taking action, in particular as the annual accounts of most UAE companies are not publicly available. The creditor's bankruptcy application must be accompanied by: (1) the aforementioned notice to the debtor; (2) information on the debt and any related guarantees; and (3) a payment or bank guarantee for 20,000 dirhams, to meet the expenses and costs of the initial procedures for deciding the application. This provides a much more debtor-friendly position than under the old bankruptcy regime. In the case of a debtor-led bankruptcy, the debtor may make a bankruptcy application to the court, which must include, among other things, a description of its economic and financial position, and details of its movable and immovable properties, employees and creditors, and its cash flow and profit and loss projections for the 12 months following the date of its application. As

with the creditor-led application, the debtor's bankruptcy application must be accompanied by a payment or bank guarantee for 20,000 dirhams to meet the expenses and costs of the initial procedures for deciding the application.

Bankruptcy of a trader

A trader may be declared bankrupt in the same ways as a company (see above).

A creditor will have one year from (1) the date of death of the debtor; (2) the striking off of the trader from the commercial register; or (3) the date of judgment of incapacity, to apply for bankruptcy proceedings against a debtor. A bankruptcy application can be made for any company, even when the company is in the process of liquidation.

Bankruptcy proceedings and declaration of bankruptcy

Within 10 business days of receiving a bankruptcy application, the court will appoint an expert (ideally from its approved list of experts) to help the court evaluate the position of the debtor. The expert will prepare a report for the court outlining the debtor's financial position and provide an opinion on the possibility for restructuring the debtor. The court will make a determination on the bankruptcy application within five business days of the later of the receipt of the application or the expert report.

Upon receipt of the bankruptcy application, the court may take such measures (either at its own initiative or at the request of an interested party) as are deemed necessary to maintain or manage the properties of the debtor while it makes its decision (including sealing the place of business of the debtor). While the court evaluates the bankruptcy application, the secured creditors can still enforce their security as and when it becomes enforceable. The court may grant permission within 10 business days of the application from the secured creditor to the court. The court will decide the degree of priority if there are many secured creditors for the same asset.

If, based on a review of the bankruptcy application and the expert's report, the court believes that the debtor may still be rescued, it shall reject the bankruptcy application and make an order for restructuring. While a relevant party may apply to the court for bankruptcy, the decision on whether to proceed with bankruptcy or restructuring is at the court's absolute discretion. A detailed review of the restructuring regime under the Bankruptcy Law is beyond the scope of this chapter.

However, if the court accepts the bankruptcy application the court may appoint one or more trustees to administer the bankruptcy. A trustee has various responsibilities, including publishing a summary of the court's decision to initiate bankruptcy proceedings in two local newspapers, notifying known creditors, reviewing the claims and supporting documentation from creditors, providing updates to the court on the bankruptcy, maintaining a record of creditors and debtors of the bankrupt company and submitting a list of creditors to the court – the court will approve the final list of approved creditors, having reviewed any objections received following the publication of the debts. Certain persons cannot act as trustees, for example, a creditor of the debtor, spouse or fourth-degree relative of the debtor or a convicted felon, fraudster or perjurer.

Once a bankruptcy judgement is delivered the trustee shall publish a notification of the judgement in two newspapers and ask all creditors to file a final claim within 10 days of publication. All late filings of debt will be disregarded, unless the court decides otherwise.

If the trustee fails to notify any creditor to attend a creditors' meeting or fails to make a publication in accordance with the Bankruptcy Law, the relevant creditor may lodge a

grievance with the court within 10 business days of the relevant creditor becoming aware of the creditors' meeting. If the court approves the grievance, it may order the stay of any decision based on the results of the creditors' meeting, provided that the remaining creditors shall not be harmed.

Administration of the bankrupt's estate

The trustee may sell all assets of the debtor at auction, under the supervision of the court. The trustee shall update the court monthly and distribute the proceeds from the sale of the debtor's assets to the creditors.

Any interested party may also submit a grievance with the court if the trustee: (1) has acted or has proposed to act unfairly, to the detriment of the interested party; (2) fails to perform its tasks with due diligence; or (3) abuses or retains any monies or properties of the debtor or breaches any other obligations to the debtor.

The Bankruptcy Law retains the two-year rule regarding voidable or fraudulent preferences. Consequently, all transactions made by the debtor during the two-year period preceding the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings can be reviewed by the trustee to determine whether these should be set aside as having been an 'unfair preference' and the Bankruptcy Law lists the types of transaction that the court will consider as representing unfair preference, including donations or gifts, payment of debts when such payments were not yet due or the creation of any new guarantee on the debtor's properties. The court will consider whether such transaction was detrimental to the creditors and if the transacting party knew (or ought to have known) when entering into the transaction that the debtor was in financial difficulty and, thereafter, make its judgment on whether it should be set aside.

Effects on debtors

As soon as a bankruptcy judgment is pronounced, the bankrupt is, with certain exceptions, prevented from administering and disposing of its assets. At the request and under the supervision of the trustee, the court may give the debtor six months (extendable by two years) to sell all or part of its business, if this serves the creditors or public interest.

When a bankruptcy judgment is pronounced, all monetary debts owed by the bankrupt become payable, whether ordinary or guaranteed by lien. The court can deduct legal interest (9 per cent) for the period from the date of the judgment until the maturity date of the debt for deferred debt where no interest is stipulated. The court can grant approval to the following categories of person to purchase the debtor's properties if that would satisfy the creditors' interests: (1) spouse, relative by marriage or up to fourth-degree relative; (2) any person who was a partner, employee, accountant or agent of the debtor (within two years prior to the date of judgment); or (3) any person who works or worked as the auditor following the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings.

Where a debtor owns any common properties, the trustee (or any of the co-owners) may request division of such property, even if there is an agreement between the co-owners that does not allow such division. Upon request by the trustee, the court can order the rescission of any contract that the debtor is a party to, provided such rescission is necessary to enable the debtor to transact his or her business or if it would fulfil the interests of all of the creditors and not significantly prejudice the other contracting party's interests.

Effects on creditors

Following a bankruptcy judgment, a group of creditors is established. The group of creditors consists of persons having valid claims on the bankrupt dating from before the bankruptcy judgment. The pronouncement of the bankruptcy judgment results in the suspension of individual proceedings and actions brought against the bankrupt by ordinary creditors or preferred creditors. When a bankruptcy judgment is pronounced, all monetary debts owed by the bankrupt become payable, whether ordinary or secured by a general or particular charge.

Effects on creditors with debts secured by a chattel mortgage or lien, or by a mortgage over real property

The names of creditors of the bankrupt who have debts secured by a chattel mortgage or lien, or by a mortgage over real property, are entered in the group of creditors with reference to the mortgage or lien, and such secured creditors enjoy priority of repayment from the proceeds of sale of the secured assets. Secured creditors shall be paid from the proceeds of the sale of the secured assets. If the sale proceeds of secured assets do not fully satisfy the relevant secured debt, then any outstanding amount of such debt shall be treated as ordinary debt. If the sale of the secured asset produces a surplus (after settlement of the relevant secured debt), such amount shall be for the account of the unsecured creditors.

Preferential payments

In the course of preventive composition or restructuring proceedings, preference is given to the following classes of debts:

- a* any judicial fees or charges, or fees and costs of any appointed trustee;
- b* fees, expenses or costs incurred owed to supplying the debtor with services or to continue performance of any contract, to the extent that such fees, expenses or costs are to the benefit of the business or properties of the debtor; and
- c* any non-guaranteed new finance (including the principal debt, interest and unpaid related expenses).

In the course of bankruptcy proceedings, preference is given to the following classes of debts:

- a* any judicial fees or charges (e.g., fees of trustees and experts and expenses paid for the benefit of the common interest of the creditors to maintain or liquidate the debtor's properties);
- b* wages and salaries due to workers and staff for the period of 30 days prior to the declaration of bankruptcy;
- c* debts of maintenance paid by the debtor under a judgment delivered by a competent court;
- d* any amounts payable to governmental bodies; and
- e* any fees, costs or expenses incurred:
 - after the date of decision of initiating procedures to procure commodities or services to the debtor, or to continue the performance of any other contract that fulfils the benefit of business or property of the debtor; or
 - to continue the course of the business of the debtor after the date of initiating procedures.

The creditors in each class of debts listed above are ranked equally, unless the debtor has insufficient funds to satisfy each creditor ranking equally. In this case, the rank of debts is equally reduced. Following the payment of the preferential debts above, the holders of debts secured by pledges or liens shall be ranked higher than the ordinary creditors.

Any person is entitled to restitution from the bankrupt's estate in respect of specific items that the person can prove he or she owned at the time of the bankruptcy judgment.

Verification and schedule of debts

The Bankruptcy Law sets out the procedure and timetable for the verification of debts of creditors by the trustee in bankruptcy and the establishment of a final schedule of uncontested debts by the judge supervising the bankrupt's estate.

In the case of companies, debentures issued in accordance with the Commercial Companies Law are not subject to the procedures for the verification of debts. Such debentures are to be accepted at their nominal value after deduction of any amounts paid by the company.

Closure of the bankrupt's estate

If the bankruptcy proceedings are halted because of insufficiency of assets prior to ratification of a judicial composition or establishment of a state of union the court may, at its own discretion or in accordance with a report from the judge supervising the bankrupt's estate, order that the bankrupt's estate be closed. In such instance, each creditor once again has the right to take steps and to initiate individual actions against the bankrupt.

iii The Commercial Companies Law

The Commercial Companies Law provides for the dissolution of a company in certain prescribed circumstances. This includes, for instance, where the losses to a limited liability company amount to half of its capital, whereupon the company's manager shall ask the general assembly to consider the issue of voluntary dissolution. Similarly, if the losses of a limited liability company reach three-quarters of the capital, the shareholders holding one quarter of the capital of such company may demand to dissolve the company.

The authority of the manager or managers and the board of directors shall terminate immediately on the dissolution of the company and all debts of the company become due and owing upon an application for the company's dissolution. If the company's assets are not sufficient to meet all of the debts, then the liquidator is required to make proportional payment of such debts, without prejudice to the rights of preferred creditors. Every debt arising from acts of liquidation must be paid out of the company's assets in priority over other debts.

Following the settlement of all debts, the remaining assets of a limited liability company resulting from liquidation shall be divided among all the shareholders. Each shareholder, upon division, shall obtain an amount equal to his or her share in the capital, and the rest shall be divided among the shareholders pro rata of their shares in the profits. If a shareholder fails to appear to collect his or her share, the liquidator shall deposit such share in the treasury of the competent court. If the net funds of the company are not sufficient to pay the shares of the shareholders in full, the loss shall be distributed among them in accordance with the prescribed rate for the distribution of losses.

III RECENT LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS

In addition to the schemes to shore up liquidity in the banking sector, the UAE Cabinet introduced various changes to the Bankruptcy Law on 22 October 2020 (primarily aimed at combating the adverse economic impact of covid-19) including the concept of an emergency financial crisis (EFC), which is defined as a general situation that affects trade or investment in the country, such as a pandemic, natural or environmental disaster, or war. On 10 January 2021, the UAE Cabinet declared the existence of an EFC as having effect (retrospectively) from 1 April 2020 through to 31 July 2021 (the EFC period). During the EFC period:

- a* debtors are not required to file for bankruptcy if they have failed to pay their debts within 30 days due to the EFC;
- b* creditors cannot file bankruptcy applications against debtors and the court will reject any such applications; and
- c* the debtor may still elect to file for bankruptcy, in which case the court may:
 - elect not to appoint a trustee if the debtor can show that its financial or business disruption was caused by the EFC;
 - shall not take any precautionary action in connection with assets that are necessary for the continued operation of the debtor's business operations; and
 - if a debtor files for bankruptcy and the application is accepted by the court, the debtor may request 40 days to negotiate a settlement with its creditors, provided that any settlements are in writing, agreed by two-thirds of creditors and implemented within a period of 12 months.

Any bankruptcy proceedings filed before the EFC period shall continue, although the court may double the time periods for such proceedings, as outlined by the Bankruptcy Law. However, it should be noted that the existence of an EFC does not preclude creditors from initiating civil proceedings (e.g., payment orders, precautionary attachments and money judgments).

IV SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS, KEY DEVELOPMENTS AND MOST ACTIVE INDUSTRIES

There are limited means to gauge market trends with respect to restructuring procedures, as generally little information is published or released regarding businesses facing financial difficulties. However, as noted above, we have seen a significant increase in legal action under the Bankruptcy Law, particularly by overseas creditors. While there is a marked increase in bankruptcy applications, the insolvency regime set out in the Bankruptcy Law is largely untested, particularly in connection with preventive composition, as it is very difficult for a debtor to satisfy all the eligibility requirements for such action. There continues to be a significant cultural aversion to the concept of bankruptcy, and the formal insolvency regime is avoided for that reason as well (in addition to potential criminal penalties for managers or directors of bankrupt businesses). As such, there is little in the way of information regarding significant transactions or active industries.

V INTERNATIONAL

The UAE has not entered into any international treaties specifically covering insolvency or restructuring. The Bankruptcy Law does not envisage how judicial assistance would be provided in the UAE to proceedings commenced in another jurisdiction.

VI FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

Given the ongoing financial impact of covid-19, we anticipate further measures by the UAE Cabinet to extend the EFC period, possibly until the end of the 2020 World Expo in Dubai. We continue to see inconsistencies in the quality of court decisions under the Bankruptcy Law. Given the key role that a well-developed bankruptcy regime can play in minimising the adverse impact of the current financial challenges facing the UAE, there is greater urgency than ever before to invest in formal education and training on the application of the Bankruptcy Law at all levels of the insolvency framework, including the court judges, trustee(s) and experts. This would represent a significant development of the insolvency regime in the UAE.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

BASHIR AHMED

Afridi & Angell

Bashir Ahmed joined Afridi & Angell in 1988 and became a partner in 1993. A multi-specialist practitioner, Mr Ahmed advises on banking, corporate and contentious matters. He has extensive experience in domestic and cross-border acquisitions and advises clients on general corporate matters. He advises international and domestic banks on a wide range of matters including loan and credit facilities, syndications and regulatory matters, and has also advised on a number of mining, refinery and infrastructure projects.

Mr Ahmed is a regular contributor to leading publications including *PLC Multi-jurisdictional Guides*, *The Law Reviews* and *Lexology – Getting the Deal Through*.

Mr Ahmed is admitted to the New York State Bar Association and the Lahore High Court Bar Association.

RAHAT DAR

Afridi & Angell

Rahat Dar is a senior associate at Afridi & Angell. He joined the firm in 2011. His practice includes banking and finance, mergers and acquisitions, and general corporate matters. He has advised companies and financial institutions on a range of financing transactions, including conventional and Islamic finance.

Prior to joining Afridi & Angell, Mr Dar was a corporate associate in the London offices of two leading law firms. He is a regular contributor to leading publications, including the *PLC Multi-jurisdictional Guides*, *The Law Reviews* and *Chambers and Partners*.

Mr Dar is a member of the Law Society of England and Wales.

AFRIDI & ANGELL

Jumeirah Emirates Towers
Office Tower, Level 35
Sheikh Zayed Road
PO Box 9371
Dubai
United Arab Emirates
Tel: +971 4 330 3900
Fax: +971 4 330 3800
www.afridi-angell.com

an LBR business

ISBN 978-1-83862-824-6